REQUEST: Install telecommunications equipment on bell tower

ADDRESS: 2320 Mayfield Ave. – St. Matthew United Church of Christ (Baltimore City Landmark)

RECOMMENDATION: Approve, due to FCC requirements.

STAFF: Lauren Schiszik

OWNER: Maryland Boys Choir

PETITIONER: Carlton Gilbert, T-Mobile

SUMMARY: Request to install eight panel antennas on the bell tower, eight antennae inside the bell tower behind stealth louvers, four remote radial units that will not be visible, and a fenced enclosure for equipment on the side of the building.

SITE/HISTORIC DISTRICT

Site History: The St. Matthew United Church of Christ congregation has served the spiritual and social needs of many Baltimore residents for over 150 years. The congregation was formed in Jonestown in 1852, breaking away from Trinity Evangelical Lutheran Church to embrace both Lutheran and Reformed teachings. Following several moves, in 1928 the congregation bought a lot in the Mayfield neighborhood and hired Riggin Buckler and G. Corner Fenhagen to prepare drawings for a new church. In 1929, due to financial constraints, the congregation decided to construct only the church tower, Sunday school building, and social hall. Services began in the social hall on Christmas Day 1929, and by 1951 the cornerstone of the newly constructed church was put in place. The sanctuary was completed with supervision from architect Harold Wagner of Philadelphia, who closely followed the original designs of Buckler & Fenhagen. Today the building is owned by the Maryland Boys Choir. Members of St. Matthew UCC still worship in the church, and the remainder of the complex has a dance studio and a child care center.
Site Conditions/Architectural Description: This stone Gothic Revival church, built in two phases, is an excellent example of this early 20th-century style. The bell tower was part of the original 1929 design by the Baltimore architecture firm of Buckler and Fenhagen, who designed two other Baltimore City Landmarks: Baltimore City College, and Cherry Hill Elementary School #159. The church is located at the center of the Mayfield neighborhood, where it sits on a large parcel that fronts on three streets: Mayfield Avenue, Norman Avenue, and Lake Ave. The sanctuary façade faces Mayfield Avenue, but the multiple entrances to the building are off of Norman Avenue. Thus, this secondary elevation is also considered a primary façade. The surrounding neighborhood is a designated historic district on the National Register of Historic Places, and is notable for the early 20th century single family homes and duplexes, largely designed in revival styles like Colonial Revival and Tudor Revival, but also including Italianate, Queen Anne, Craftsman bungalows and Four-squares.

BACKGROUND

- The applicant made an original permit application for the installation of eight antennae inside of a 10’ tall screening box on top of the bell tower in August 2017, which staff found to not comply with the CHAP guidelines. Significant communication with staff via email, phone calls, and a site visit over the course of almost a year, resulted in several proposed alternatives such as mirrored stealth walls and smaller rooftop stealth installations. The applicant has reduced the proposed installation to this current submission. During this time, staff offered suggestions that could meet the CHAP design guidelines, such as installation inside the bell tower, alternative sites, or mounting antennae only on the northwest and possibly northeast faces, which are not highly visible from the two main façades of the building.
- At the June 2018 CHAP hearing, the Commission ruled to move the staff recommendation of disapproval, as the previous proposal was found to not comply with CHAP guidelines, and concurred with the staff report that the applicants did not sufficiently demonstrate that they explored all other available options for the installation of cell facilities in this area, nor did they demonstrate that those other potential sites could not provide comparable or reasonable radio frequency coverage, opting instead for their “preferred candidate.” Furthermore, the approved staff report stated that Smartlink has not demonstrated that the installation of the antennae inside the bell tower (which would comply with CHAP guidelines) is infeasible.
- This proposal received a conditional zoning approval for an installation; the conditions are that the placement of the antennas and related equipment be approved by the Commission for Historical and Architectural Preservation, and the applicant will adequately mitigate any adverse effects.
- This installation is subject to federal telecommunications laws.
  - The Federal Communications Commission (FCC), has oversight and provides permitting for communication installations. Thus, this project is being reviewed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. Section 106
requires that there be consideration of potential adverse effects for any project that requires federal funding or permitting. This process typically does not prevent FCC installations; it simply requires mitigation of adverse effects. CHAP is a consulting party to the Section 106 process, but also maintains a separate, stricter regulatory purview due to the City Landmark designation.

- Per FCC regulations, when there is a demonstrated gap in cellular service, the local jurisdiction is required to allow installation if there is no other location in the area from which the applicant can achieve a signal.
- Once a communications installation is approved on a property, that installation can be retained in perpetuity and staff will be legally required to allow modifications that are not considered “substantial.” It is our understanding that FCC defines “substantial” modification as alterations changing the dimensions of the installation more than 10%.
- Once communications equipment for one cellular carrier is installed on a property, FCC regulations typically require local approval of future co-location requests from other carriers, resulting in the installation of additional antennae at a particular location.

**PROPOSAL & APPLICATION OF GUIDELINES**

The applicant has revised their proposal in response to the Commission’s findings at the June 2018 hearing. The current proposal is to install sixteen antennae on the property. Eight antennae are to be mounted on the four faces of the prominent bell tower – two on each elevation. The applicants also propose, at a future point in time, when more cellular capacity is required in the service area, to install eight antennae on the inside of the bell tower, located behind stealth louvers which would replace the existing metal louvers. These eight interior antennae will require approval from the Board of Municipal Zoning Appeals (BMZA). These sixteen antennae will provide cellular coverage for T-Mobile (the carrier) exclusively.

The bell tower is 87.5’ tall, with the parapet reaching 90.5’. The antennae will be mounted adjacent to the top of the bell tower on two elevations, centered above the lancet arched openings, so that the top of the antennae are also 87.5’ high, where they will partially obscure decorative stone banding. On the other two elevations, the applicants propose two options: 1) install the antennae centered above the lancet arched openings, like the other elevations. 2) install the antennae on the parapet, centered and adjacent to the top of the parapet. The antennae will be mounted onto the building through mortar joints. One type of antenna, the Air32 “Antenna Integrated Radio”, will be 4’-6” tall, 14.5” wide, and project out from the building a total of 12”. The other antenna, the RFS APXVF13-C-A20 will be 4’-8.5” tall, almost 13” wide, and project from the building approximately 14”. The proposal includes four of each of these two types of antennae. It is proposed that these antennae will be painted with plastic Krylon paint to visually mimic the stonework. This paint will require touch-up
applications once every 5-7 years, according to the applicant. There will be a covered band of cables running the full height of the bell tower’s north elevation, connecting to the proposed electrical equipment inside a fenced enclosure.

**Conformity to Guidelines:** The relevant guidelines for this project are Chapter 1: Design Guidelines for Building Exteriors.

1. **Guideline 1.1 Identifying and Preserving Historic Building Fabric:**
   - This guideline directs applicants to identify and retain character-defining features, such as unique detailing.
     - The highly visible bell tower, with its banded tower, is a character-defining feature of this church complex. The addition of projecting, painted antennae will alter this character-defining feature.

2. **Guideline 1.14.3 Telecommunications Facilities and Equipment:**
   - Locate telecommunications facilities and equipment on non-historic buildings whenever possible.
     - The applicant has provided documentation that demonstrates that the company has explored all other potential locations in the immediate area that has a demonstrable gap in cellular coverage, and that these other locations cannot provide the required enhanced connectivity.
     - The applicant states that small cell equipment installations on light poles, a technology frequently used throughout the city including in local historic districts, does not provide sufficient coverage for the area because the 12’ light poles in this neighborhood are too short.
     - The applicant has demonstrated that the possibility of installing cell towers in nearby public parks, golf courses, or cemeteries were not viable options because the cell towers would not be able to provide the needed enhanced wireless connectivity and the Planning Department discourages new monopoles.

   - Screened and stealth facilities are strongly encouraged in historic districts and on historic buildings when they will significantly minimize the impact of an installation.
     - The applicants propose to install eight future antennae on the inside of the bell tower and install stealth bell tower louvers (which has been used at Baltimore City College and Sharp Street United Methodist Church, both Baltimore City Landmarks). The antennae installed on the interior of the belltower will be used to boost the existing signal from the exterior-mounted antennae. The antennae proposed for the interior of the belltower
function on a different frequency, and cannot be installed first, as the primary antennae.

- Do not damage or remove historic materials or obscure historic features when installing telecommunications facilities and equipment.
  - The installation will partially obscure the decorative banding at the top of the bell tower.
  - The proposed Option 1, which will locate all eight of the antennae above the eight lancet-arched windows on the bell tower, will provide a balanced symmetry to the four elevations of the bell tower. This proposed installation is the least visually disruptive option of the two proposed.

NEIGHBORHOOD COMMENTS
The Mayfield Improvement Association has submitted a letter of support for a previous version of this proposal, subject to three conditions: that the antennae be spaced so that they correspond with the symmetry of the tower; that the most durable technique be used to ensure that the covers of the antennae not detract from the tower; and that the Commission’s approval should designate the party responsible for maintaining the paint/decal on the antennae covers.

The non-profit organization HARBEL Community Association, which serves over 80 neighborhoods in Northeast Baltimore, supports the Mayfield Improvement Association’s stance.

ANALYSIS
CHAP staff finds that the proposed installation of eight antennae at the top of the 87.5’ tall bell tower, which will range from 4.5’ to 4.75’ in height, approximately 1’ in width, and project out from the face of the bell tower by approximately 1’, does not comply with CHAP guidelines as the antennae, while painted to mimic the stone, will obscure decorative details and be a visible alteration to a significant architectural feature.

However, staff has determined that the applicant has sufficiently demonstrated that they have explored all other available options for the installation of cell facilities in this area and that this location is the only viable option. Thus, FCC laws require that the local jurisdiction allow installation.

Additionally, staff finds that the applicant has minimized the visual impact of the installation on the exterior of the belltower in three significant ways. First, this revised proposal is for only eight antennae on the exterior, instead of the previously requested twelve. Second, the applicant proposes to install antennae inside the bell tower, which complies with CHAP guidelines and allows for fewer antennae on the exterior of the bell tower. Third, staff finds that the proposed Option 1 of the antennae installation, in which the antennae will be located in alignment with the lancet-arched openings of the bell tower, is approvable because the symmetry of the installation on all four elevations minimizes the visual impact.
Staff recommends a finding of approval of this proposal due to the following reasons:

1. the applicant has demonstrated that this is the only viable location for this installation and thus approval is required under federal law
2. the applicant has decreased the number of antennae on the exterior of the building
3. the proposed Option 1 for the antennae installations minimizes the overall visual impact due to the symmetry of the installation above the eight lancet-arched belltower openings.

This approval is subject to the regular maintenance of the paint on the antennae by the cellular provider. Staff further finds that this approval is only for this specific proposal by this applicant at this location, and does not constitute a precedent for approval of other antennae installations at this or any other locally-designated property.

Eric Holcomb
Director
MAP AND IMAGES

Map 1—Location of site.

Map 2: Location of site in greater context.
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Image 1: Aerial view of the property from the south.

Image 2: Aerial view of the property from the north.
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Image 6: View of Norman Ave. façade, from sidewalk on Norman Ave.
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